### Analysis of Reoperations after Microendoscopic Lumbar Decompressions Iwai Orthopaedic Medical Hospital; Yuzawa Yohei M.D., Ph.D. Purpose The reoperation cases after microendoscopic lumbar decompression (MED) were analyzed. Patients We studied all patients who had undergone MED more than 6 months previously. (from July 2008 to November 12 2012) Preoperative diagnosis [No. of patients] No. of patients 250 Intra-canal disc herniation 225 $45.0 \pm 14.6$ Age (yr) 15 Spinal stenosis with LDH

# Gender (M/F)

Lateral disc herniation Re-operation (from other hospitals)

# Results

### Reoperation cases among the MED patients

170/80

No. of patients % of all the MED patients 2.8% Age (yr)  $40.9 \pm 9.7$ Gender (M/F) 4/3 Mean duration from primal surgery 205 (14-469) days Pre-reoperative diagnosis [No. of patients] recurrence of the disc herniation 7

### Operation sites of the reoperation MED

2

8

Reoperation level and side compared to the primal operation

Same level & side 6 Different level

> **Operation level** L4/5 : 3 L5/s:4

#### Procedures of the reoperation

MED 5 Laminotomy 1 Fusion

Laminotomy case: with spinal stenosis

#### **Operation outcome**

Improvement rate of JOA score using Hirabayashi's formula

All MED patients:  $77.2 \pm 18.7$  % Reoperation patients:  $69.1 \pm 19.3$  %

# Case presentation (fusion case)

24y.o. male appeared 2009 July 10th with 3 months left leg pain

SLR 45-30 Left S1 radiculopathy

Operation 2009 July 22nd MED for left L5-s

JOA score improved from 9/29 to 27-29



L5

Preoperation MRI

After 2.5 years later, the patient visited with left leg pain again for 1 month and couldn't work as before.

SLR 80-45 Left S1 radiculopathy

Pregabalin was not effective

**Operation 2012 February 29th** TLIF for left L5-s level

JOA score 12 improved to 26



Post-reoperation plain X-P

## Discussion

Frequency of reoperation

Clinical success of recurrent disc herniation surgery

Pre-reoperation I

Choice of the methods of surgery for recurrent disc

The reported reoperation rate of standard open or microsurgical methods was 2% to 5%

•Hurme M, et al: Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 1987 •Soldner F, et al: Acta Neurochir (Wien) 2002 •An HS, et al: J Spinal Disord 1999 •Wenger M, et al: Neurosurgery 2001 •Carragee EJ, et al: Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 2006 and so on.

The reoperation rate of *our study* was 2.8%

Clinical success of primary discectomy: 79.3% Reoperation: 71.1% Cinotti G et al: Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 1999

Satisfactory results of primary discectomy: 88% Reherniation: 85% Cinotti G et al: *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 1998

Improvement for primary herniation: 80% Reherniation: 85% Papadopoulos EC et al: Spine 2006

Improvement rate of primal MED: 77% Reoperation:69% Our series

#### herniation

Many authors do not recommend fusion after a first-time recurrent disc herniation. Herron L: J Spinal Disord 1994 Stambough JL: Orthop Rev 1994

In our series, 5 patients were treated with MED. 1 case was underwent fusion because of his hard work. Fusion is not necessary for all recurrent disc herniation.

### Conclusion

In conclusion, the reoperation rate of the MED was not as high as standard open and microsurgical methods. Reoperation can be performed with the same microendoscopic procedure.